

Pension Settlements

Risk Reduction in Defined Benefit Schemes

2nd November 2011

Patrick Cosgrave



Part I – Risk reduction & Settlement pre-Windup (Patrick Cosgrave)

- DB pension risks from a CFO's perspective
- Partial liability settlement solutions
- Longer term liability settlement
- Pension deficit funding

Part II – Windups (Paul Victory)

- Overall Wind Up environment
- Trustee perspectives and issues on Wind Ups
- Wind Up process
- Key technical/practical issues associated with Wind Up

Finance Director Priorities



• What are the top three challenges facing your business today?



Source: Deloitte Quarterly CFO Survey June 2010

- 1. Many of the survey participants do not have a DB scheme
- 2. The suspension of the funding standard has taken some of the focus off pensions
- 3. Q3 2011 investment market turmoil had not yet occurred

Current pension issues.....





Q3 2011 – Market Turmoil



- Negative investment performance
- Increase in annuity prices



UK PPF 7800 Aggregate Balance

NB- UK PPF 7800 is not necessarily a reliable proxy for Irish MFS movements

Current CFO concerns





CFO Pension Risk Management



- Objectives might include
 - Delivery of promised benefits....but with what level of risk?
 - Delivery of adequate benefits (e.g. sacrifice some pension increases?)
 - Minimise near term cash requirements in challenging economic environment
 - Minimise overall cost and secure a return on the investment



 "Trustees must recognise the contribution rate, the investment policy and, where relevant, changes to the benefits structure, as being interrelated, and the best solution is one that allows the scheme to undertake appropriate investment risk to achieve long term returns without such risk endangering the benefits already accrued by members." – Pensions Board

Most DB Schemes are in 'End Game' mode





Source – IAPF 2011 DB Pension Scheme Survey

The choice – to Wind up immediately or develop the End-Game Roadmap

- CFO's cannot rely on trustees to be driving End Game strategies or fully considering the options
- Normal funding valuation process does not assume any final End Game

Liability Settlement Options Key considerations for companies





Reducing Benefits – APLI/SAI May 2010







	Implemented		Considering		Νο
	More than 12 months ago	Within last 12 months or in process	Likely	Unlikely	
Increase Member Contributions	26%	15%	17%	17%	25%
Reduce Investment Risk	25%	36%	27%	3%	9%
Cap/reduce Pension Increases	15%	26%	23%	8%	28%
Freeze/Restrict Pensionable Salary	15%	15%	20%	15%	35%
Reduce Future Accrual	11%	8%	10%	14%	57%
Reduce Past Benefits (S.50)	5%	7%	11%	9%	68%
Increase Retirement Age	1%	4%	16%	23%	56%
Buy out of Pensions	4%	1%	9%	22%	64%
Offer Enhanced Transfer Values	4%	0%	6%	12%	78%

Source – IAPF 2011 DB Pension Scheme Survey

DB Liability Settlement Options



Benefit strategy review	Active & Deferred liability Actions	Buy-in / buy- out solutions	Investment Strategy Review
 Changes to future benefits Closure to future accrual Reduction in accrued benefits 	 Enhanced transfer value exercise for deferred members Engagement with active members to obtain agreement on transfer to replacement scheme (for both past and future service) Engagement with members with large liabilities 	 Conventional buy in/ buy out Opportunities around sovereign annuities Use of derivatives/ longevity swaps 	 Review/ modelling of optimal investment strategies in context of Company's cost/ risk budget Consideration of alternative asset backed investments

Enhanced Transfer Value (ETV) Exercise



- Deferred members have a statutory right to transfer their pension into either a personal pension plan (e.g. buy-out bond or PRSA in some cases) or a scheme run by their new employer.
- An ETV exercise may provide a financial supplement, either as cash or an additional pension contribution, to the standard scheme transfer value, for a limited period, as an incentive to deferred pensioner members to transfer their benefits.
- ETVs are beginning to gain traction in Ireland, but are well established in the UK where the regulators have published best practice guidelines to ensure that members' interests are protected.



