How risky is my investment?

Presentation to the Society of Actuaries in Ireland by John Caslin and Damian Fadden on 28th November 2007.

Agenda

Background

Current approaches to illustration of risk
A proposed new approach
Thoughts on regulation
Comparing investment funds
The magic of diversification
Conclusions

It's the outcome, stupid

Kevin Murphy (24th May 2005)
 Paper to the Society of Actuaries in Ireland
 Actuarial profession needs investment tables

"...build a deep insight into the outcomes that are possible from the various products which we are involved in with our clients."

"a simple investment table" based on longterm investment assumptions.

Current Illustrations of Market Risk

How does an authorised advisor illustrate the relative risk of the funds she recommends?

Standard deviation is available
Difficult to get a feel for the risk involved

Past periods of outstanding returns on the positive and negative side

May be unrepresentative of risk

Can we do better?

Large repository of past daily returns for many funds

Bootstrap Re-Sampling Technique

Pioneered by Bradley Efron in 1979

Data set: Past daily returns of fund

Procedure: Randomly sample, register observed daily return & replace daily return in data set

Repeat procedure

- 21 times to build up return for a calendar month
- 259 times to build up return for a calendar year
- 1,295 times to build up return for 5 calendar years

Irish Equity Fund

	1% of returns less than	5% of returns less than	25% of returns less than	Mean return	25% of returns greater than	5% of returns greater than	1% of returns greater than
Calendar Month	-10.5%	-7.1%	-2.3%	1.0%	4.3%	9.3%	13.0%
Calendar Year	-25.6%	-16.2%	-0.8%	12.9%	25.0%	47.4%	65.5%
Five Calendar Year Annualised	-6.9%	-1.9%	5.7%	12.9%	17.2%	26.2%	33.0%
Five Calendar Year Period	-30.1%	-9.3%	31.8%	83.7%	121%	220%	316%

Data set: Daily returns from 1st October 1996 to 21st November 2007.

Currency Fund

	1% of returns less than	5% of returns less than	25% of returns less than	Mean return	25% of returns greater than	5% of returns greater than	1% of returns greater than
Calendar Month	-9.9%	-6.5%	-2.0%	1.0%	4.0%	8.6%	12.1%
Calendar Year	-23.5%	-14.3%	0.3%	13.2%	24.5%	45.5%	62.2%
Five Calendar Year Annualised	-5.5%	-0.8%	6.4%	13.2%	17.3%	25.7%	32.0%
Five Calendar Year Period	-24.6%	-3.7%	36.6%	85.8%	122%	214%	300%

Data set: Daily returns from 30th March 2005 to 21st November 2007.

Fixed Income Fund

	1% of returns less than	5% of returns less than	25% of returns less than	Mean return	25% of returns greater than	5% of returns greater than	1% of returns greater than
Calendar Month	-2.8%	-1.7%	-0.4%	0.5%	1.4%	2.7%	3.7%
Calendar Year	-4.6%	-1.4%	3.2%	6.6%	10.0%	15.0%	18.8%
Five Calendar Year Annualised	1.4%	2.9%	5.0%	6.6%	8.1%	10.3%	11.9%
Five Calendar Year Period	7.4%	15.4%	27.9%	38.0%	47.3%	63.0%	75.1%

Data set: Daily returns from 1st October 1996 to 21st November 2007.

Consensus Fund

	1% of returns less than	5% of returns less than	25% of returns less than	Mean return	25% of returns greater than	5% of returns greater than	1% of returns greater than
Calendar Month	-6.1%	-4.1%	-1.2%	0.8%	2.8%	5.8%	8.0%
Calendar Year	-15.0%	-8.5%	1.4%	9.4%	16.8%	29.3%	38.8%
Five Calendar Year Annualised	-2.5%	0.7%	5.4%	9.4%	12.3%	17.6%	21.4%
Five Calendar Year Period	-11.8%	3.5%	30.1%	56.8%	78.8%	124%	163%

Data set: Daily returns from 1st October 1996 to 21st November 2007.

Comparison – One Calendar Year

	1% of returns less than	5% of returns less than	25% of returns less than	Mean return	25% of returns greater than	5% of returns greater than	1% of returns greater than
Fixed Income Fund	-4.6%	-1.4%	3.2%	6.6%	10.0%	15.0%	18.8%
Consensus Fund	-15.0%	-8.5%	1.4%	9.4%	16.8%	29.3%	38.8%
Currency Fund	-23.5%	-14.3%	0.3%	13.2%	24.5%	45.5%	62.2%
Irish Equity Fund	-25.6%	-16.2%	-0.8%	12.9%	25.0%	47.4%	65.5%

How useful are these tables?

>Illustration of risk: Magnitude & chances

Risk tolerance

Relative risk of different funds is illustrated

Assumptions Underling Bootstrap Re-Sampling Technique

Daily returns are independently & identically distributed

Not the case for all assets

 Daily returns of equity indices are serially correlated

 Ramu Ramanathan: Introductory Econometrics with Applications

How does the invalidity of this assumption affect the tables?

Serial Correlation

Not all investment fund daily returns exhibit serial correlation

Positive serial correlation
 Downside & upside returns understated

Negative serial correlation
 Downside & upside returns overstated

Should We Correct for Serial Correlation?

No universally agreed method of correcting for serial correlation in a bootstrap re-sampling

While not perfect, bootstrap re-sampling is an improvement on current risk disclosure

Regulation

> MiFID Useful in explaining risk to customers Simple rules for producing the tables Publish daily return Anyone can verify figures Track record to be used All daily returns for a given strategy Changes in strategy Only when publicly announced

Regulation

- How do the tables fit with the 'assumed' growth rates for illustrations?
- Expected return figure may be higher or lower than 'assumed' growth rate
 - Not incompatible; different objectives
- Expected return representative of past returns which are readily available
- Availability of risk information is important in consumer's decision making process
 - Table forces a serious conversation about risk

Comparing the Performance of Investment Managers

Valid Comparison

The volatility of the managers being compared should be very similar

The investment strategy should be similar

The opportunity set of the two managers being compared should be similar

Managed Pension Funds

Manager	10-Yr. Annualised Return to 30 th Sept. 2007	Rank	10-Yr. Annualised SD of Monthly Returns to 30 th Sept. 2007
Α	9.0	1	12.09
В	7.8	2	10.32
С	7.7	3	10.99
D	7.5	4	11.03
E	7.5	5	11.19
F	7.4	6	11.12
G	7.2	7	9.86
H	6.9	8	11.73
	6.9	9	11.02
J	6.6	10	11.39
K	6.6	11	11.39
L	6.3	12	11.38
М	6.1	13	11.90
Ν	5.2	14	11.26

Source: Hewitt with authors' computations.

Managed Pension Funds

Manager	10-Yr. Annualised Risk-Adjusted Return to 30 th Sept. 2007	Risk-Adjusted Rank
В	8.5	1
А	8.4	2
G	8.2	3
С	7.9	4
D	7.6	5
E	7.5	6
F	7.5	7
	7.0	8
Н	6.6	9
J	6.5	10
K	6.5	11
L	6.2	12
М	5.8	13
Ν	5.2	14

Source: Hewitt with authors' computations.

Diversification

- Who got the Nobel prize in economics for simply saying:
 - Not the number of assets
 - Rather, number of assets that don't have their periods of positive & negative returns at the same time?
- Risk of Irish Equity Fund & Currency Fund taken in isolation is rather high
- > Taken together risk is significantly lower
 - Tend not to have periods of positive & negative returns at the same time

Consensus (75%) & Currency (25%) Fund Combination

	1% of returns less than	5% of returns less than	25% of returns less than	Mean return	25% of returns greater than	5% of returns greater than	1% of returns greater than
Calendar Month	-4.3%	-2.7%	-0.6%	0.9%	2.4%	4.5%	6.0%
Calendar Year	-7.2%	-2.1%	+ 5.5%	11.4%	17.1%	26.0%	32.6%
Five Calendar Year Annualised	2.6%	5.0%	8.6%	11.4%	13.7%	17.6%	20.3%
Five Calendar Year Period	13.7%	27.7%	50.8%	71.9%	90.3%	124%	152%

Data set: Daily returns from 30th March 2005 to 21st November 2007.

What Does Lower Risk Mean?

<u>Event</u> Peak-to-Trough Fall in Value Over the Next 10 Years of More Than:	Chances of Event Assuming a Risk Level of 10.1% p. a.	Chances of Event Assuming a Risk Level of 8.8% p. a.
20%	50%	27%
25%	24%	9%

Assumptions underlying simulation of results: Both the managed fund and the diversified managed fund produce net-of-fees returns of 6% per annum and their returns are normally distributed.

Summary & Conclusions

More informative risk disclosure for certain investment funds

- > Adjust managers' returns for risk before comparing them
- Diversification matters a lot
 - Done properly, you can get equity returns with lower risk than equities